



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,144	11/25/2003	Robert J. Hariri	9516-495-999 /501872-494	6313
84802	7590	02/01/2011	EXAMINER	
JONES DAY			HIBBERT, CATHERINE S	
222 E. 41ST. STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1636	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/721,144	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARIRI, ROBERT J.
	<b>Examiner</b> CATHERINE HIBBERT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2010.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,12,13,15-18,20-23,31,32 and 34-37 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,5,6,12,13,15-18,20-23,31,32, and 34-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
      1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
      2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
      3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No./Mail Date 14 December 2010.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No./Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 December 2010 has been entered. Claims 2-4, 7-11, 14, 19, 24-30, 33, and 38-57 are cancelled. Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15-18, 20-23, 31, 32, and 34-37 are pending and under examination in this action.

**Response to Amendments**

All objections and rejections not repeated herein are withdrawn based on claim amendments filed 14 December 2010. Specifically, the rejections over prior art are withdrawn based on the amendments made to the base claims that now require cells that are CD34-, OCT-4+, SSEA3-, CD10+, CD29+, CD38-, CD44+, CD45-, CD54+, CD90+, SH2+, SH3+, SH4+, SSEA4-, and ABC-p+.

**Priority**

Priority to the instantly claimed invention is granted to US Provisional 60/429,702, filed 11/26/2002.

**Information Disclosure Statement**

The IDS statement filed on 14 December 2010 has been considered by the examiner.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15-18, 20-23, 31, 32, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to: (a) the nature of the invention; (b) the breadth of the claims; (c) the state of the prior art; (d) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (e) the existence of working examples; (f) the relative skill of those in the art; (g) whether the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure is "undue"; and (h) the level of predictability in the art (MPEP 2164.01 (a)).

Nature of the invention and Breadth of the claims: The instant claims are drawn to compositions of cells wherein the composition comprises cells that are CD34-, OCT-4+, SSEA3-, CD10+, CD29+, CD38-, CD44+, CD45-, CD54+, CD90+, SH2+, SH3+, SH4+, SSEA4-, and ABC-p+. Thus, the nature of the invention requires a type of cell having all of the markers listed above but does not provide any information as to how to obtain or make such cells. The instant specification refers to various references regarding how to obtain broad categories of cells such as fetal cells, cord blood cells, placental perfusate cells, but none of these cited references disclose how to make or obtain cells having all of the markers CD34-, OCT-4+, SSEA3-,

CD10+, CD29+, CD38-, CD44+, CD45-, CD54+, CD90+, SH2+, SH3+, SH4+, SSEA4-, and ABC-p+ in an individual cell.

State of the prior art and level of predictability in the art: The prior art is silent as to the method of making or obtaining cells that are CD34-, OCT-4+, SSEA3-, CD10+, CD29+, CD38-, CD44+, CD45-, CD54+, CD90+, SH2+, SH3+, SH4+, SSEA4-, and ABC-p+.

Amount of direction provided by the inventor and existence of working examples: The instant disclosure fails to provide a single working example of the claimed composition. The instant disclosure fails to disclose a method of making or obtaining the critical element of the claims, cells that are CD34-, OCT-4+, SSEA3-, CD10+, CD29+, CD38-, CD44+, CD45-, CD54+, CD90+, SH2+, SH3+, SH4+, SSEA4-, and ABC-p+.

Relative skill of those in the art and quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention: The teachings of the specification and prior art would not enable the ordinary skilled artisan to make the invention.

#### **Double Patenting-maintained**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 5, 6,12,13,15-18, 20-23, 31, 32, and 34-37 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 71-78, 80-84, 86-87, and 89-93 of copending Application No. 11/592,544. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the amendments to the claims in the '544 application together with the amendments to the instant claims now present claims that are essentially identical except that the currently amended '544 claims now require postpartum placental perfuse which the currently amended instant claims no longer require. Thus, the '544 claims are now species claims that anticipate the genus of cyotherapeutic units of the instant base claims. In addition, the limitations in the dependent claims are essentially identical for both the instant and co-pending applications (e.g. require same cell types as identified by the same cell markers).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

**Applicant's response** is to traverse the rejection and argue that as Applicant estimates that upon entry of the present Amendment, the provisional rejection will be the only rejection remaining in the present application, that the provisional rejection will be withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are unpersuasive because for reasons provided above, the current claim amendment is not sufficient to overcome all rejections and thus the provisional rejection is maintained.

**Conclusion**

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE HIBBERT whose telephone number is (571)270-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joanne Hama can be reached on 571-272-2911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NANCY VOGEL/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

Catherine Hibbert  
Examiner AU1636